

RAPID COMMUNICATION

Epitaxial fabrication of two-dimensional $TiTe_2$ monolayer on Au(111) substrate with Te as buffer layer_

To cite this article: Zhipeng Song et al 2019 Chinese Phys. B 28 056801

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

Zheng Li

- Insight into the Anchoring Effect of Two-Dimensional TiX₂ (X = S, Se, Te) Materials for Lithium-Sulfur Batteries: A DFT Study Qiao Wu, Yuchao Chen, Xiaoqian Hao et al.
- <u>Structural approach to charge density</u> waves in low-dimensional systems: <u>electronic instability and chemical bonding</u> Jean-Paul Pouget and Enric Canadell
- <u>A scenario for high-temperature excitonic</u> <u>insulators</u> Huaiyuan Yang, Xinqiang Wang and Xin-

This content was downloaded from IP address 159.226.35.207 on 11/03/2024 at 13:36

RAPID COMMUNICATION

Epitaxial fabrication of two-dimensional TiTe₂ monolayer on Au(111) substrate with Te as buffer layer*

Zhipeng Song(宋志朋)^{1,†}, Bao Lei(雷宝)^{1,†}, Yun Cao(曹云)¹, Jing Qi(戚竞)¹, Hao Peng(彭浩)¹, Qin Wang(汪琴)¹, Li Huang(黄立)¹, Hongliang Lu(路红亮)^{1,‡}, Xiao Lin(林晓)^{1,3}, Ye-Liang Wang(王业亮)^{1,2}, Shixuan Du(杜世萱)^{1,3}, and Hong-Jun Gao(高鸿钧)^{1,3}

¹ Institute of Physics and University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China ² School of Information and Electronics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China ³ CAS Center for Excellence in Topological Quantum Computation, Beijing 100049, China

(Received 3 February 2019; revised manuscript received 5 March 2019; published online 4 April 2019)

Two-dimensional (2D) materials provide a platform to exploit the novel physical properties of functional nanodevices. Here, we report on the formation of a new 2D layered material, a well-ordered monolayer TiTe₂, on a Au(111) surface by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). Low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) measurements of the samples indicate that the TiTe₂ film forms ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{7}$) superlattice with respect to the Au(111) substrate, which has three different orientations. Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) measurements clearly show three ordered domains consistent with the LEED patterns. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations further confirm the formation of 2H-TiTe₂ monolayer on the Au(111) surface with Te as buffer layer. The fabrication of this 2D layered heterostructure expands 2D material database, which may bring new physical properties for future applications.

Keywords: TiTe₂, epitaxial fabrication, superlattice, scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), low-energy electron diffraction (LEED)

PACS: 68.37.-d, 68.37.Ef, 81.07.-b, 81.15.Hi

Following the discovery of graphene, tremendous interest in other two-dimensional 2D layered materials has been raised.^[1-6] These 2D materials have great potentials in applications of functional devices,^[7,8] mainly attributed to their tunable properties with various methods, such as through changing the number of layers, chemical components, or by the formation of heterostructures.^[1,9] Among the hundreds of 2D materials, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have drawn much attention recently due to their novel electronic and optoelectronic properties.^[7,10] As one member of TMDs, titanium dichalcogenide compounds have been studied extensively, because they show many amazing physical phenomena like charge-density-wave (CDW) state.^[11] For example, a real-space periodic lattice distortion in the CDW state of TiSe₂ film with interstitial Ti atoms was observed through the scanning tunneling microscope (STM) measurements.^[12] Similar phenomenon and possible formation mechanisms about CDW state in the monolayer TiTe₂ are also intriguing.^[13] Moreover, the spin-orbit coupling or quantum confinement effects may be observed in these 2D TMDs, these novel phenomena are normally hard to observe in their bulk counterparts.^[14–17] Although a large number of TMD materials were fabricated in recent years,^[3,18-20] new members of 2D TMD materials fam-

DOI: 10.1088/1674-1056/28/5/056801

ily with new structures are still desirable, because it holds new possibilities for novel physics and device applications. 2D TiTe₂ with 1T configuration has been fabricated and studied recently,^[13,21] but 2D TiTe₂ with 2H configuration has not been obtained experimentally so far.

Here, we report the fabrication of 2H-TiTe₂ monolayer on a Au(111) substrate. The experiments were carried out in an ultrahigh-vacuum (UHV) molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) system with a base pressure of about 3×10^{-10} mbar. The Au(111) single crystal surface was cleaned by cycles of argonion sputtering and annealing to 760 K until it showed clear diffraction spots in the low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) pattern and atomically clean surface in the STM images. The atomic beams of Te and Ti were evaporated to the asprepared Au(111) substrate from a Knudsen effusion cell and an electron-beam evaporator, respectively. The process for the fabrication of the TiTe2 film was as follows: Te was deposited at first, while the substrate was maintained at 573 K, then Ti was deposited at the same substrate temperature, and finally the substrate was kept at 573 K for 20 min and then slowly cooled down. By these processes, the TiTe₂ film formed on the Au(111) surface with Te as the buffer layer. The as-grown samples were characterized in-situ by LEED to gain the crys-

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

[‡]Corresponding author. E-mail: luhl@ucas.ac.cn

^{*}Project supported by the National Key Research & Development Program of China (Grant Nos. 2016YFA0202300 and 2018YFA0305800), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 61504149, 61725107, 51572290, and 61622116), the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant Nos. XDB30000000 and XDB28000000), the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, and the CAS Key Laboratory of Vacuum Physics.

^{© 2019} Chinese Physical Society and IOP Publishing Ltd

tal structure and then transferred to the STM chamber to study the surface morphology and detailed geometric properties.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package (VASP). The projector augmented wave (PAW) method was employed and the Perdew–Burke–Ernzernhof (PBE) version of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was used. The electronic wave functions were expanded in plane waves with a kinetic energy cutoff of 300 eV. The *k*-points sampling was $8 \times 3 \times 1$, generated automatically with the origin at the Γ point. The structures were relaxed until the energy and residual force on each atom were smaller than 10^{-5} eV and 0.01 eV/Å, respectively. The STM simulations were performed using the Tersoff–Hamann approach.

Figure 1(a) shows the LEED pattern of the as-prepared sample, and the outer six diffraction spots highlighted by the white circles are originated from the hexagonal lattice of the Au(111) substrate. Apart from these spots, the other diffraction spots can be assigned to the well-ordered film. For more clarity, we sketched a diffraction pattern in reciprocal space as shown in Fig. 1(b), where groups of spots in different sizes and colors are classified in order to describe different structures and domains. Specifically, the diffraction spots are divided to two groups, as shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). Each group of spots is generated by three domains as represented in different colors.

Figures 1(e) and 1(f) show the lattice structures in real space, corresponding to the diffraction spots indicated by the

arrows in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d), respectively. The crystalline matrix of the superstructure in Fig. 1(e) is (1, -1 | 2, 3). This sketch distinctly shows a commensurate relation between the film and the substrate lattice, which has a superstructure of $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{7})$. As discussed in the following, this structure can be attributed to TiTe₂ layer on Au(111) substrate with Te as the buffer layer.

The diffraction spots shown in Fig. 1(d) are generated by a high-order commensurate structure of (7/4, 1 | -3/4, 1), as shown in Fig. 1(f). They are probably originated from the Te thin film, because excess Te was deposited in the growth process. The lattice period of this structure in real space is ~ 0.44 nm, which is consistent with the lattice constant of bulk Te. The crystal unit cell has a little distortion to be commensurate with the substrate lattice.

To further investigate the surface structure of the sample, STM was used to characterize the surface morphology in real space. Figure 2(a) shows an STM image of the sample surface, in which three domains can be clearly distinguished, as labeled by α , β , and γ . We can also see that the crystal directions are 30° , -30° , and 90°. To characterize the surface structure more clearly, we mapped these three domains separately in detail, as demonstrated in Figs. 2(b)–2(d). It is clear that the superstructure is an oblique structure. The unit cell of the superstructure is marked by a black parallelogram, corresponding to $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{7})$ superlattice with respect to the Au(111) substrate, consistent with the LEED patterns.



Fig. 1. (a) LEED pattern of TiTe₂ superstructure formed on Au(111) surface. The white circles indicate the diffraction spots originated from the Au(111) substrate. The additional diffraction spots are ascribed to the TiTe₂ and Te films. (b) Sketch of the diffraction spots shown in (a), which includes two groups of spots as shown in (c) and (d). Each group of spots is generated by three domains as represented in different colors. (e) and (f) Lattice structures in real space, corresponding to the diffraction spots indicated by the arrows in (c) and (d), respectively. These data reveal a superstructure of $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{7})$ as shown in (e) and a high order commensurate structure of $(7/4, 1 \mid -3/4, 1)$ as shown in (f). Black circles in (e) and (f) indicate the lattices of the Au(111) substrate.



Fig. 2. STM images of the 2D TiTe₂ layer formed on the Au(111) surface. (a) STM image showing the three domains, marked as α , β , and γ . (b)–(d) Zoom-in STM images of the α , β , and γ domains in (a). (e)–(g) Line profiles of the purple lines in (b)–(d), respectively, which show periods of 5.0 Å, in agreement with $\sqrt{3}$ times of the Au substrate lattice constant. The sample bias and tunneling current: -10 mV, 40 pA in (a), -0.5 V, 50 pA in (b), -50 mV, 40 pA in (c) and (d).

Figures 2(e)–2(g) are the line profiles along the purple lines in Figs. 2(b)–2(d), in which the period of the superstructure is about 5.0 Å, in agreement with $\sqrt{3}$ times of the Au substrate lattice constant ($\sqrt{3} \times 2.89$ Å = 5.0 Å). These STM images match with the diffraction spots in the LEED pattern with the ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{7}$) structure. The superstructure in Fig. 1(f) deduced from the complicated LEED pattern was not observed in the STM experiments, possibly because STM is a surfacesensitive technique, hard to detect the superstructure underneath the surface layer.

To understand the STM images, we performed DFTbased calculations and simulations. Figure 3(a) shows a stable structure obtained by the calculations. This is a 2H-TiTe₂ monolayer on the Au(111) surface with a buffer Te layer between the TiTe₂ film and the substrate, which shows a ($\sqrt{3} \times 5$) superstructure (red rectangle). The lattice constants of this 2H-TiTe₂ are about a = 3.69 Å and b = 3.28 Å, a little smaller than that of freestanding 1T-TiTe₂ of 3.76 Å,^[22] which may be induced by the substrate. In order to accommodate the in-plane compression of the lattice constants, the distance between Te atomic layers in the TiTe2 sandwich structure is elongated in the z direction to be 4.13 Å, while this distance in freestanding 1T-TiTe₂ is only 3.47 Å.^[22] Figure 3(b) is the simulated STM image of this relaxed structure. Besides $(\sqrt{3} \times 5)$ superstructure (red rectangle), $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{7})$ structure (black parallelogram) is also displayed, because the electronic states of the top Te atoms merge. Figure 3(c) is an experimentally obtained high resolution STM image, which shows a good agreement with the simulated result in Fig. 3(b), indicating the validity of the structural model with 2H-TiTe₂ monolayer on Au(111) and Te as buffer layer. We also calculated the structure with 1T-TiTe₂ as the top layer and simulated the STM image. However, the results are inconsistent with the $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{7})$ superstructure observed in the LEED and STM experiments.



Fig. 3. (a) Top and side views of the relaxed atomic model of the TiTe₂/Te/Au(111) structure showing a ($\sqrt{3} \times 5$) superstructure (red rectangle). (b) Simulated STM image of the configuration in (a), showing both ($\sqrt{3} \times 5$) (red rectangle) and ($\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{7}$) (black parallelogram) superstructures. The atomic model is overlapped on the top for comparison. (c) High resolution STM image (-50 mV, 40 pA) showing two superstructures.

In summary, we have successfully synthesized 2D $TiTe_2$ layer with 2H configuration, which is a new member of the TMDs family, on a Au(111) surface by MBE method. STM and LEED characterizations combined with DFT calculations demonstrate the formation of $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{7})$ superlattice of the TiTe₂ monolayer with Te as buffer layer on the Au(111) substrate. This work may help to enrich the understanding of the superstructures of 2D layered materials and provides a new candidate in 2D materials family to explore novel physical properties and related applications.

References

- Novoselov K S, Mishchenko A, Carvalho A and Castro Neto A H 2016 Science 353 aac9439
- [2] Pan Y, Zhang L Z, Huang L, Li L F, Meng L, Gao M, Huan Q, Lin X, Wang Y L, Du S X, Freund H J and Gao H J 2014 Small 10 2215
- [3] Lin X, Lu J C, Shao Y, Zhang Y Y, Wu X, Pan J B, Gao L, Zhu S Y, Qian K, Zhang Y F, Bao D L, Li L F, Wang Y Q, Liu Z L, Sun J T, Lei T, Liu C, Wang J O, Ibrahim K, Leonard D N, Zhou W, Guo H M, Wang Y L, Du S X, Pantelides S T and Gao H J 2017 *Nat. Mater.* 16 717
- [4] Dong L, Wang A, Li E, Wang Q, Li G, Huan Q and Gao H J 2019 Chin. Phys. Lett. 36 028102
- [5] Meng L, Wang Y L, Zhang L Z, Du S X and Gao H J 2015 Chin. Phys. B 24 086803
- [6] Huang L, Li G, Zhang Y Y, Bao L H, Huan Q, Lin X, Wang Y L, Guo H M, Shen C M, Du S X and Gao H J 2018 Acta Phys. Sin. 67 126801 (in Chinese)
- [7] Wang Q H, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kis A, Coleman J N and Strano M S 2012 Nat. Nanotechnol. 7 699
- [8] Lu J, Bao D L, Qian K, Zhang S, Chen H, Lin X, Du S X and Gao H J 2017 ACS Nano 11 1689
- [9] Terrones H, Lopez-Urias F and Terrones M 2013 Sci. Rep. 3 1549
- [10] Chhowalla M, Shin H S, Eda G, Li L J, Loh K P and Zhang H 2013 Nat. Chem. 5 263

- [11] Reshak A H and Auluck S 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 245113
- [12] Hildebr, B, Jaouen T, Mottas M L, Monney G, Barreteau C, Giannini E, Bowler D R and Aebi P 2018 Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 136404
- [13] Chen P, Pai W W, Chan Y H, Takayama A, Xu C Z, Karn A, Hasegawa S, Chou M Y, Mo S K, Fedorov A V and Chiang T C 2017 Nat. Commun. 8 516
- [14] Mak K F, He K, Shan J and Heinz T F 2012 Nat. Nanotechnol. 7 494
- [15] Mak K F, Lee C, Hone J, Shan J and Heinz T F 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 136805
- [16] Splendiani A, Sun L, Zhang Y, Li T, Kim J, Chim C Y, Galli G and Wang F 2010 Nano Lett. 10 1271
- [17] Zhang Y, Chang T R, Zhou B, Cui Y T, Yan H, Liu Z, Schmitt F, Lee J, Moore R, Chen Y, Lin H, Jeng H T, Mo S K, Hussain Z, Bansil A and Shen Z X 2013 Nat. Nanotechnol. 9 111
- [18] Bonilla M, Kolekar S, Ma Y, Diaz H C, Kalappattil V, Das R, Eggers T, Gutierrez H R, Manh-Huong P and Batzill M 2018 Nat. Nanotechnol. 13 289
- [19] Shao Y, Song S, Wu X, Qi J, Lu H, Liu C, Zhu S, Liu Z, Wang J, Shi D, Du S, Wang Y and Gao H J 2017 *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **111** 113107
- [20] Xi X, Zhao L, Wang Z, Berger H, Forro L, Shan J and Mak K F 2015 Nat. Nanotechnol. 10 765
- [21] Guster B, Robles R, Pruneda M, Canadell E and Ordejon P 2019 2d Materials 6 015027
- [22] Mounet N, Gibertini M, Schwaller P, Campi D, Merkys A, Marrazzo A, Sohier T, Castelli I E, Cepellotti A, Pizzi G and Marzari N 2018 Nat. Nanotechnol. 13 246